

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,609	08/07/2003		Nobuyasu Kanekawa	056207.50305C1	9617
23911	7590	10/28/2005		EXAMINER	
CROWELI			HOANG, TU BA		
P.O. BOX 1		PERTY GROUP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300				3742	
				DATE MAH ED. 10000000	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- 1 	0					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/635,609	KANEKAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tu Ba Hoang	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	<u> August 2005</u> .						
,							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 19-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•—	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) 1 and 19-24 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
8)[_] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 August 2003</u> is/are: a) $igodot$ accepted or b) $igotimes$ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/925,405. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/07/03& 06/22/05. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/635,609

Art Unit: 3742

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/925,405, filed on August 10, 2001. *Drawings*

Figure 15 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 2, at line 14, the word "head" is misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 19-24 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, the term "a heating object" recited at line 8 (last line) should be changed to "an object to be heated".

In claim 20, the phrase "a bulk process is used when the target" recited at line 2 should be changed to "a target" and "said heating object" recited at line 3 should be just "said object".

In claim 21, the phrase "an SOI (Silicon On Insulator) process used when the target" recited at lines 2-3 should be changed to "a target" and "said heating object" recited at line 3 should be just "said object".

Art Unit: 3742

In claim 22, the phrase "A SiC (Silicon Carbide) process is used when the target" recited at lines 2-3 should be changed to "a target" and after "temperature" recited at line 3, the phrase "of said object" should be inserted.

The above changes are suggested to put the claim in better form for issue and to avoid further confusion since such processes recited in the claims are even thought considered intended uses, such processes are undefined and have no operational cooperation relationships with the claimed heating device.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Nelson et al (US 5,970,962), Hamilton (US 5,911,897), and VanderBok (US 6,196,177).

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not show or fairly suggested the use of a heat conductive body which is thermally coupled with a heating body and a current control element for *transmitting heat generated by the heating body and heat generated by the current control element* to a heating object.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu Ba Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4780. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-fri from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (571) 272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,609 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu Ba Hoang

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3742

August 24, 2005